

A STRONG FORM OF A PROBLEM OF R. L. GRAHAM

KEVIN FORD

ABSTRACT. If A is a set of M positive integers, let $G(A)$ be the maximum of $a_i/\gcd(a_i, a_j)$ over $a_i, a_j \in A$. We show that if $G(A)$ is not too much larger than M , then A must have a special structure.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1970, R. L. Graham [3] conjectured that for any set of n positive integers, there are two of them, say a and b , such that $a/(a, b) \geq n$. Here (a, b) is the greatest common divisor of a and b . Graham's conjecture was proved for all large n independently by Zaharescu [5] and Szegedy [4] in the mid-1980s. Introducing several new ideas, and making use of explicit bounds for prime number counting functions, Balasubramanian and Soundararajan [1] recently proved the conjecture for all n . They also noted that their method of proof could be used to prove a stronger form of Graham's conjecture, but gave no details.

For a set $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ of positive integers, define

$$A^* = \left\{ \frac{L}{a_1}, \frac{L}{a_2}, \dots, \frac{L}{a_n} \right\}, \quad L = \text{lcm}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n],$$

which we refer to as the dual of A . Let $G(A)$ be the maximum over all i, j of $\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)}$. We will confine our discussion to sets with $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$, since $G(A) = G(dA)$, where $dA = \{da_1, \dots, da_n\}$. Also, since $\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)} = \frac{L/a_j}{(L/a_i, L/a_j)}$ for all i, j , it follows that $G(A) = G(A^*)$.

Theorem BS (Balasubramanian-Soundararajan [1]) *Let $n > 4$. For every set A of n positive integers, $G(A) \geq n$. Furthermore, if $G(A) = n$ then either A or A^* is equal to $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.*

The strengthening of Graham's conjecture which we are concerned with is an extension of the second part of the conjecture. We show that if A is

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A05.

Research supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-0070618.

a set of M positive integers and $G(A) = N$ with N “not too much larger” than M , then either A or A^* lies in $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

Definition. Let $f(N)$ denote the largest number R so that the following holds: for every set A of M positive integers with $N - R \leq M \leq N$ and $G(A) \leq N$, either A or A^* lies in $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

Theorem 1. *We have $f(N) \geq \frac{cN \log \log N}{\log^2 N}$ for large N , where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.*

Lower bounds for $f(N)$ have an application to a problem of determining the maximum number of k -term arithmetic progressions of real numbers one can have, any two of which have two elements in common (see [2]). This in fact was the motivation for this work (In [2] a crude bound $f(N) \geq 0.156 \frac{N}{\log^3 N}$ for $N \geq e^{10000}$ is proved). In this paper we concentrate only on the behavior of the bound for large N , as a totally explicit version of Theorem 2 would require a great deal of extra computation. By Theorem BS, $f(N) \geq 0$ for $N \geq 5$. A natural question is to determine the smallest X so that $f(N) \geq 1$ for $N \geq X$. The example $A = \{2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 18\}$ shows that $f(10) = 0$. Perhaps one can prove that $f(N) \geq 1$ for $N \geq 11$ using the methods in [1].

Remark. Balasubramanian and Soundararajan claim that their method yields $f(N) \geq \frac{cN}{\log N \log \log N}$, but this appears to be too optimistic.

We can also show a non-trivial upper bound on $f(N)$.

Theorem 2. *We have $f(N) = O\left(\frac{N}{\log \log N}\right)$.*

Proof. Suppose that N is large, set $L = \frac{1}{2} \log N$ and let H be the product of the primes $\leq L$. By the Prime Number Theorem, $N^{2/5} \leq H \leq N^{3/5}$ for large N . Let $N_0 = H \lfloor N/H \rfloor$ so that $N \geq N_0 \geq N - H \geq N - N^{3/5}$. Here $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer $\leq x$. Let

$$A = \{m \leq N_0 : (m, H) > 1\} \cup \{2N_0\}.$$

It is clear that $G(A) \leq N$ and neither A nor A^* is a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &= N_0 + 1 - \phi(N_0) = N_0 + 1 - N_0 \prod_{p \leq L} (1 - 1/p) \\ &\geq N_0 - \frac{c_1 N_0}{\log L} \geq N - \frac{c_2 N}{\log \log N}. \end{aligned}$$

Here c_1, c_2 are positive absolute constants. □

2. GENERAL LOWER BOUNDS

We first need to introduce some of the notation from [1]. Suppose $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_M\}$, $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_M) = 1$, $N \geq 7$ and $G(A) \leq N$. If p is a prime in $(1.5N, 2N)$ and $p - N \leq m \leq N$, define

$$(2.1) \quad R_p(m) = \left\{ \text{pairs } (a_i, a_j) : \frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)} = m, \frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)} = p - m \right\}$$

and put $r_p(m) = |R_p(m)|$. Our proof is based on upper and lower bounds for averages of $r_p(m)$. Suppose that neither A nor A^* lies in $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, and that $N/2 + 2 < M \leq N$. We need not consider M outside this range, since the set $A = \{a \leq N : (6, N) > 1\} \cup \{6 \lfloor N/3 \rfloor\}$ shows that $f(N) \leq N/3 - 1 < N/2 - 2$ for $N \geq 7$. By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 of [2],

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{\frac{p+1}{2} \leq m \leq N \\ r_p(m) \geq 2}} (r_p(m) - 1) \geq \sum_{\substack{\frac{p+1}{2} \leq m \leq N \\ r_p(m) = 0}} 1 - (N - M) \\ \geq \pi(N) - \pi(p - N - 1) - (N - M),$$

where $\pi(x)$ denotes the number of primes $\leq x$. Let

$$(2.3) \quad K_{D,N}(m) = \left| \left\{ m = abc : 1 < a < b \leq D, (a, b) = 1, \frac{b}{a} \leq \frac{N}{m} \right\} \right|.$$

For any triple (a, b, c) counted in $K_{D,N}(m)$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{m}{N - m} \leq a \leq D - 1, \quad a + 1 \leq b \leq \frac{N}{m}a, \quad c \leq \frac{N}{b^2}.$$

In particular, $b \leq \sqrt{N}$, so

$$(2.5) \quad K_{D,N}(m) = K_{\sqrt{N}, N}(m) \quad (D \geq \sqrt{N}).$$

Let

$$D(p, A) = \max_{p - N \leq m \leq N} \max_{(a_i, a_j), (a_{i'}, a_{j'}) \in R_p(m)} \left\{ \frac{\gcd(a_i, a_j)}{\gcd(a_i, a_j, a_{i'}, a_{j'})} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *If $D = D(p, A)$, then*

$$D = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{N}{2N - p} \leq D \leq N,$$

and for $\frac{p+1}{2} \leq m \leq N$ we have

$$r_p(m) \leq (K_{D,N}(m) + 1)(K_{D,N}(p - m) + 1).$$

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 of [1]. \square

It follows from Lemma 2.1 and the definition of $D(p, A)$ that $r_p(m) \leq 1$ for all m if and only if $D(p, A) = 1$.

The next lemma, a slightly weaker form of Lemma 4.1 of [1], shows that A cannot contain many elements divisible by primes $> 2ND^{-1/3}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose p is a prime in $(1.5N, 2N - \sqrt{N})$ and $D = D(p, A) > 1$. With the possible exception of two primes, no prime $q > 2ND^{-1/3}$ can divide an element of A .*

A version of the Prime Number Theorem with crude error term will also be needed:

$$(2.6) \quad \pi(x) = \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^{10} x}\right).$$

We may now state the fundamental lower bound for $f(N)$. Here $P^+(n)$ denotes the largest prime factor of n .

Theorem 3. *Suppose N is large and let \mathcal{P} be a subset of the primes in $(1.5N, 2N - \sqrt{N})$. Then*

$$(2.7) \quad f(N) \geq -1 + \min\left(\frac{N}{3(\log N)^{3/2}}, |\mathcal{P}|^{-1} \min_{\sqrt{\log N} \leq D \leq \sqrt{N}} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \{S_1(p, N, D) - S_2(p, N, D)\}\right),$$

where

$$S_1(p, N, D) = \left| \{m \in [p - N, N] : P^+(m) > 2ND^{-1/3}\} \right| - \frac{2N - p}{ND^{-1/3}} - 2,$$

$$S_2(p, N, D) = \sum_{\frac{p+1}{2} \leq m \leq N} ((K_{D,N}(m) + 1)(K_{D,N}(p - m) + 1) - 1).$$

Proof. Suppose $|A| = M$, $G(A) \leq N$ and neither A nor A^* is contained in $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Let

$$D_0 = \max_{1.5N < p < 2N - \sqrt{N}} D(p, A).$$

If $D_0 = 1$, let p be the smallest prime $> 1.5N$. By (2.6), $p \leq 1.6N$. Since $D(p, A) = 1$, $r_p(m) \leq 1$ for $p - N \leq m \leq N$ and thus by (2.2) and (2.6),

$$N - M \geq \pi(N) - \pi(p - N - 1) \geq \frac{N}{3 \log N}.$$

If $1 < D_0 \leq \sqrt{\log N}$, let p be the smallest prime $> 2N - N/D_0$. By (2.6), $p \leq 2N - N/(2D_0)$. By Lemma 2.1, $D(p, A) = 1$ and we similarly obtain from (2.2) and (2.6) the bound

$$N - M \geq \pi(N) - \pi(p - N - 1) \geq \frac{N}{3D_0 \log N} \geq \frac{N}{3(\log N)^{3/2}}.$$

Lastly, if $D_0 > \sqrt{\log N}$, then we apply Lemma 2.2. Let q_1, \dots, q_s be the primes in the interval $(2ND^{-1/3}, N]$. Since $2ND^{-1/3} \geq N^{2/3}$, each number $m \leq N$ is divisible by at most one prime q_i . Fix $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and let R_i be the

number of $m \in [p - N, N]$ divisible by q_i . Then $r_p(m) = 0$ for at least $S_0(p, N, D_0)$ values of $m \in [\frac{p+1}{2}, N]$, where

$$S_0(p, N, D_0) = \min_{\substack{T \subset \{1, 2, \dots, s\} \\ |T|=s-2}} \sum_{i \in T} R_i.$$

By (2.2), Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $K_{D', N}(m) \leq K_{D, N}(m)$ if $D' \leq D$, $N - M \geq S_0(p, N, D_0) - S_2(p, N, D(p, A)) \geq S_0(p, N, D_0) - S_2(p, N, D_0)$. Averaging over $p \in \mathcal{P}$ gives

$$(2.8) \quad N - M \geq |\mathcal{P}|^{-1} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} [S_0(p, N, D_0) - S_2(p, N, D_0)].$$

Since $S_0(p, N, D)$ is an increasing function of D and $S_2(p, N, D)$ is constant for $N^{1/2} \leq D \leq N$ by (2.5), the minimum over D_0 of the right side of (2.8) occurs for some $D_0 \leq N^{1/2}$. Finally, $S_0(p, N, D) \geq S_1(p, N, D)$ and this completes the proof. \square

3. LOWER BOUNDS FOR S_1

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose N is large, $1.98N \leq p \leq 2N - N/\log^5 N$ and $100 \leq D \leq \sqrt{N}$. Then*

$$S_1(p, N, D) \geq \frac{(2N - p) \log D}{6 \log N}.$$

Proof. Let $R = \frac{p-N}{2ND^{-1/3}}$ and note that $R \leq \frac{1}{2}N^{1/6}$. At most one prime $q > 2ND^{-1/3}$ can divide any number in $[p - N, N]$, so

$$S_1(p, N, D) \geq \sum_{1 \leq r \leq R} \left(\pi \left(\frac{N}{r} \right) - \pi \left(\frac{p-N}{r} \right) \right) - \frac{2N-p}{N^{5/6}} - 2.$$

By hypothesis, $2 \leq 2(2N - p)N^{-5/6}$. Thus, by (2.6),

$$S_1(p, N, D) \geq \sum_{1 \leq r \leq R} \left(\int_{(p-N)/r}^{N/r} \frac{dt}{\log t} - O \left(\frac{N}{r \log^{10} N} \right) \right) - \frac{3(2N-p)}{N^{5/6}}.$$

The integral is

$$\geq \frac{2N-p}{r \log(N/r)} \geq \frac{2N-p}{r \log N}$$

and

$$\sum_{r \leq R} \frac{1}{r} \geq \int_1^{R+1} \frac{dt}{t} \geq \log \left(\frac{0.98}{2} D^{1/3} \right) \geq 0.178 \log D$$

since $D \geq 100$. For large N the result follows. \square

4. UPPER BOUNDS FOR S_2

Lemma 4.1. *If $\max(N/D, 2N^{5/6}) \leq \lambda \leq N/50$, then*

$$\sum_{N-\lambda \leq m \leq N} K_{D,N}(m) \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{2(N-\lambda)} \left(\log \left(\frac{\lambda D}{N} \right) + 4 \frac{\lambda}{N} + \frac{D^2}{2(N-\lambda)} \right).$$

Remark 1. When $\lambda < N/D$, the left side is zero by (2.4).

Proof. Ignoring the condition $(a, b) = 1$ in (2.3), the left side in the lemma is at most the number of triples (a, b, c) with

$$N - \lambda \leq abc \leq N, \quad 1 < \frac{b}{a} \leq \frac{N}{abc}, \quad b \leq D.$$

By (2.4),

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{N-\lambda}{ab} \leq c \leq \frac{N}{b^2}, \quad a \geq \frac{N-\lambda}{\lambda}, \quad b \leq (1+\beta)a, \quad \beta = \frac{\lambda}{N-\lambda}.$$

Let E be a parameter in $[\frac{N}{\lambda}, D]$, let T_1 be the number of triples with $a \leq E-1$ and T_2 be the number of remaining triples.

We first estimate T_1 . For each pair (a, b) , the number of c is at most

$$\frac{N}{b^2} - \frac{N-\lambda}{ab} + 1 = \frac{N}{b} \left(\frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{a(1+\beta)} \right) + 1.$$

This is a decreasing function of b and is positive for $b \leq (1+\beta)a$, so for each a , the number of pairs (b, c) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq a\beta + \int_0^{a\beta} \frac{N}{(a+t)^2} - \frac{N-\lambda}{a(a+t)} dt = a\beta + \frac{\beta N}{a(1+\beta)} - \frac{(N-\lambda) \log(1+\beta)}{a} \\ &\leq a\beta + \frac{1}{a} \left(\lambda - (N-\lambda)(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2) \right) = a\beta + \frac{\lambda^2}{2(N-\lambda)a}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &\leq \frac{\beta E^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2(N-\lambda)} \int_{N/\lambda-2}^E \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2}{2(N-\lambda)} \left(\log \left(\frac{E\lambda}{N} \right) - \log(1-2\lambda/N) + \frac{E^2}{\lambda} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^2}{2(N-\lambda)} \left(\log \left(\frac{E\lambda}{N} \right) + 2.1 \frac{\lambda}{N} + \frac{E^2}{\lambda} \right). \end{aligned}$$

When $D \leq N^{1/3}$, we take $E = D$, so that $T_2 = 0$ and

$$\frac{E^2}{\lambda} \leq \frac{N^{2/3}}{\lambda} \leq \frac{\lambda}{4N}.$$

This gives the lemma in this case. Next assume $D > N^{1/3}$. To bound T_2 , note that

$$\frac{N - \lambda}{D^2} \leq c < \frac{N}{E^2}.$$

For fixed c , we count the number of (a, b) for which

$$\frac{N - \lambda}{\sqrt{Nc}} \leq a < b \leq \frac{N}{\sqrt{Nc}}, \quad b \geq \frac{N - \lambda}{ac}.$$

By symmetry (counting solutions with $b < a$ also), this is

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[|\{ \frac{N - \lambda}{\sqrt{Nc}} \leq a \leq \frac{N}{\sqrt{Nc}}, \frac{N - \lambda}{ac} \leq b \leq \frac{N}{\sqrt{Nc}} \}| - |\{ \sqrt{\frac{N - \lambda}{c}} \leq a \leq \frac{N}{\sqrt{Nc}} \}| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_a \left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{Nc}} - \frac{N - \lambda}{ac} + 1 \right) - \left(\frac{N}{\sqrt{Nc}} - \sqrt{\frac{N - \lambda}{c}} - 1 \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\frac{N - \lambda}{\sqrt{Nc}}}^{\frac{N}{\sqrt{Nc}}} \frac{N}{\sqrt{Nc}} - \frac{N - \lambda}{ac} + 1 da + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{Nc}} + 2 - \frac{\sqrt{N} - \sqrt{N - \lambda}}{\sqrt{c}} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\lambda}{c} + \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{Nc}} - \frac{N - \lambda}{c} \log \frac{N}{N - \lambda} + 2 - \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{Nc}} \right] \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{3\lambda}{4\sqrt{Nc}} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4c(N - \lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we sum over c , using for $0 < x < y$ the bounds

$$\sum_{x \leq c \leq y} c^{-1/2} < 2\sqrt{y}, \quad \sum_{x \leq c \leq y} c^{-1} \leq 1/x + \log(y/x).$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &\leq \frac{N}{E^2} + \frac{3\lambda}{2E} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4(N - \lambda)} \left(\frac{D^2}{N - \lambda} + \log \frac{ND^2}{(N - \lambda)E^2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^2}{2(N - \lambda)} \left(\frac{D^2}{2(N - \lambda)} + 0.51 \frac{\lambda}{N} + \log \frac{D}{E} + \frac{3N}{E\lambda} + \frac{2N^2}{\lambda^2 E^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Take $E = N^{1/3}$, which is close to optimal. Then combine the bounds for T_1 and T_2 , using the bound $\lambda \geq 2N^{5/6}$ to simplify the expression. This completes the proof for $D > N^{1/3}$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Uniformly in $x \geq y \geq 2$ we have*

$$\sum_{y \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\phi(n)} \ll \log \frac{x}{y} + \frac{\log x}{y}.$$

Proof. Start with the identity

$$\frac{n}{\phi(n)} = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\phi(n)} &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d\phi(d)} \sum_{y/d \leq m \leq x/d} \frac{1}{m} \\ &\leq \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d\phi(d)} \left(\frac{d}{y} + \log \frac{x}{y} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{y} \prod_{p \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1} \right) + \left(\log \frac{x}{y} \right) \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d\phi(d)} \\ &\ll \log \frac{x}{y} + \frac{\log x}{y}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let \mathcal{P}_B be the set of primes in $[N - 2B, N - B]$, where $2N^{5/6} < B \leq N/100$. Making the substitution $m \rightarrow p - m$ in the definition of S_2 , we see that

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_B} S_2(p, N, D) = \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_B \\ p-N \leq m \leq N}} \frac{1}{2} K_{D,N}(m) K_{D,N}(p-m) + K_{D,N}(m).$$

Suppose $\frac{N}{2B} \leq D \leq \sqrt{N}$. By (2.6) and Lemma 4.1,

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_B \\ p-N \leq m \leq N}} K_{D,N}(m) \ll \frac{B^3}{N \log N} \left(\log \frac{2BD}{N} + \frac{B + D^2}{N} \right).$$

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose $2N^{5/6} \leq B \leq N/100$, $N/(2B) \leq D \leq \sqrt{N}$ and $N - 2B \leq m \leq N$. Then*

$$\sum_{2N-2B \leq p \leq N+m} K_{D,N}(p-m) \ll \frac{B^2 \log D}{N \log N}.$$

Proof. By (2.4) and (4.1), the left side is at most the number of triples (a, b, c) with $abc + m$ prime and

$$\frac{N-2B}{ab} \leq c \leq \frac{N}{b^2}, \quad \frac{N-2B}{2B} \leq a < b \leq (1+\beta)a, \quad \beta = \frac{2B}{N-2B}.$$

Put $E = \min(D, N^{1/3})$, let T_1 be the number of triples with $a \leq E - 1$ and T_2 be the number of remaining triples. For fixed $a < b \leq E$, the number

of c is at most the number of primes in $[2N - 2B, 2N]$ which are $\equiv m \pmod{ab}$. This is

$$\ll \frac{B}{\phi(ab) \log(2B/(ab))} \ll \frac{B}{\phi(a)\phi(b) \log N}$$

by the Brun-Titchmarsh inequality and the inequality $\phi(ab) \geq \phi(a)\phi(b)$. By Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_a \frac{1}{\phi(a)} \sum_b \frac{1}{\phi(b)} &\ll \sum_a \frac{1}{\phi(a)} \left(\log(1 + \beta) + \frac{\log a}{a} \right) \\ &\ll \frac{B}{N} \left(\log \frac{2BE}{N - 2B} + \frac{B \log E}{N} \right) + \sum_{a \geq N/(3B)} \frac{\log a}{a\phi(a)} \\ &\ll \frac{B}{N} \left(\log \frac{2BE}{N} + \log E + \log \frac{N}{B} \right) \\ &\ll \frac{B \log E}{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(4.4) \quad T_1 \ll \frac{B^2 \log E}{N \log N}.$$

If $D \leq N^{1/3}$, then $T_2 = 0$ and the lemma follows from (4.4). Otherwise we bound T_2 starting with the inequalities

$$\frac{N - 2B}{D^2} \leq c \leq \frac{N}{E^2} = N^{1/3}$$

and

$$\frac{N - 2B}{\sqrt{Nc}} \leq a < b \leq \frac{N}{\sqrt{Nc}}.$$

In particular, $bc \leq N^{2/3}$. For fixed b, c the number of a is at most the number of primes in $[2N - 2B, 2N]$ which are $\equiv m \pmod{bc}$. By the Brun-Titchmarsh inequality, this is

$$\ll \frac{B}{\phi(bc) \log(\frac{2B}{bc})} \ll \frac{B}{\phi(b)\phi(c) \log N}.$$

By Lemma 4.2 again,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b,c} \frac{1}{\phi(b)\phi(c)} &\ll \sum_c \frac{1}{\phi(c)} \left(\log \frac{N}{N - 2B} + \frac{\log N}{E} \right) \\ &\ll \frac{B}{N} \sum_c \frac{1}{\phi(c)} \\ &\ll \frac{B}{N} \left(\log \frac{D}{E} + \frac{B}{N} + \frac{D^2 \log N}{N} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(4.5) \quad T_2 \ll \frac{B^2}{N \log N} \left(\log \frac{D}{E} + \frac{B}{N} + \frac{D^2 \log N}{N} \right).$$

Together, (4.4) and (4.5) give the lemma in the case $D > N^{1/3}$ because $\log D \gg \log N \gg (D^2/N) \log N$. \square

If $D < \frac{N}{2B}$, then the left side of (4.2) is zero. Otherwise, putting together (4.2), (4.3) and Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3 gives the following.

Lemma 4.4. *If $2N^{5/6} \leq B \leq N/100$ and $1 \leq D \leq \sqrt{N}$, then*

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_B} S_2(p, N, D) \ll \frac{B^4 \log^2 D}{N^2 \log N} + \frac{B^3 \log D}{N \log N}.$$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Take $B = \frac{c_1 N}{\log N}$, where c_1 is a sufficiently small positive constant, and put $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_B$. By (2.6), $|\mathcal{P}_B| \gg B/\log N$. Consequently, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_B} S_1(p, N, D) \gg \frac{B^2 \log D}{\log^2 N}.$$

By Theorem 3 and Lemma 4.4, there are absolute constants c_2, c_3 , so that when N is large we have

$$f(N) \geq \min \left(\frac{N}{3(\log N)^{3/2}}, \min_{\sqrt{\log N} \leq D \leq \sqrt{N}} \left[\frac{c_2 B \log D}{\log N} - c_3 \left(\frac{B^2 \log D}{N} + \frac{B^3 \log^2 D}{N^2} \right) \right] \right).$$

The minimum of the inner expression occurs at $D = \sqrt{\log N}$ if c_1 is small enough, and this completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Balasubramanian and K. Soundararajan, *On a conjecture of R. L. Graham*, Acta Arith. **75** (1996), 1–38.
- [2] K. Ford, *Maximal collections of intersecting arithmetic progressions*, Combinatorica **23** (2) (2003), 263–281.
- [3] R. L. Graham, *Advanced Problem 5749*, Amer. Math. Monthly **77** (1970), 775.
- [4] M. Szegedy, *The solution of Graham's greatest common divisor problem*, Combinatorica **6** (1986), 67–71.
- [5] A. Zaharescu, *On a conjecture of Graham*, J. Number Theory **27** (1987), 33–40.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN,
URBANA, IL 61801, USA